arXiv:0712.2423v2 [math.NT] 12 Apr 2008

Towards BAD conjecture

Moshchevitin N.G. [1]

Abstract.

For $\alpha,\beta,\delta \in [0,1],\ \alpha+\beta=1$ we consider sets

$$\mathrm{BAD}^*(\alpha,\beta;\delta)=\left\{\xi=(\xi_1,\xi_2)\in[0,1]^2:\ \inf_{p\in\mathbb{N}}\ \max\{(p\log(p+1))^{\alpha}||p\xi_1||,(p\log(p+1))^{\beta}||p\xi_2||\}\geqslant\delta\right\}.$$

We prove that for different $(\alpha_1,\beta_1),(\alpha_2,\beta_2),\alpha_1+\beta_1=\alpha_2+\beta_2=1$ and δ small enough

$$\mathrm{BAD}^*(\alpha_1,\beta_1;\delta)\bigcap \mathrm{BAD}^*(\alpha_2,\beta_2;\delta)\neq\varnothing.$$

Our result is based on A. Khintchine's construction and an original method due to Y. Peres and W. Schlag.

1. Introduction.

For $\alpha,\beta\in[0,1]$ under condition $\alpha+\beta=1$ and $\delta\in(0,1/2)$ we consider the sets

$$\mathrm{BAD}(\alpha,\beta;\delta)=\left\{\xi=(\xi_1,\xi_2)\in[0,1]^2:\ \inf_{p\in\mathbb{N}}\ \max\{p^{\alpha}||p\xi_1||,p^{\beta}||p\xi_2||\}\geqslant\delta\right\}$$

(here $||\cdot||$ denotes the distance to the nearest integer) and

$$\mathrm{BAD}(\alpha,\beta)=\bigcup_{\delta>0}\mathrm{BAD}(\alpha,\beta;\delta).$$

In [1] W.M. Schmidt conjectured that for any $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2\in[0,1]$, $\alpha_1+\beta_1=\alpha_2+\beta_2=1$ the intersection

$$\mathrm{BAD}(\alpha_1,\beta_1)\bigcap \mathrm{BAD}(\alpha_2,\beta_2)$$

---

[1] Research is supported by grants RFFI 06-01-00518 and INTAS 03-51-5070

is not empty. This conjecture is still open. Up to now it is only known that for any $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$ the set

$$\mathrm{BAD}(1,0) \bigcap \mathrm{BAD}(\alpha,\beta) \bigcap \mathrm{BAD}(0,1)$$

is not empty. In fact A. Pollington and S. Velani [2] proved that this intersection has Hausdorff dimension equal to 2. Some multidimensional generalizations are due to S. Kristensen, R. Thorn, S. Velani [3] and R. Akhunzhanov [4]. We would like to note that the papers [2],[3],[4] mentioned above use H. Davenport's approach [5],[6].

In the present paper we consider sets

$$\mathrm{BAD}^*(\alpha,\beta;\delta) = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_1,\xi_2) \in [0,1]^2 : \inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \max\{(p\log(p+1))^{\alpha}||p\xi_1||, (p\log(p+1))^{\beta}||p\xi_2||\} \geqslant \delta \right\}.$$

Obviously

$$\mathrm{BAD}(\alpha,\beta;\delta) \subseteq \mathrm{BAD}^*(\alpha,\beta;\delta).$$

As the series $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p\log(p+1)}$ diverges one can easy see that the set

$$\mathrm{BAD}^*(\alpha,\beta) = \bigcup_{\delta>0} \mathrm{BAD}^*(\alpha,\beta;\delta)$$

has Lebesgue measure equal to zero.

The aim of this paper is to prove that the intersection of the sets $\mathrm{BAD}^*(\alpha,\beta;\delta)$ is not empty.

Theorem 1. *Let* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0,1]$, $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 = 1$ *and* $0 < \delta \leqslant 2^{-20}$. *Then the set*

$$\mathrm{BAD}(0,1;\delta) \bigcap \mathrm{BAD}^*(\alpha_1,\beta_1;\delta) \bigcap \mathrm{BAD}^*(\alpha_2,\beta_2;\delta)$$

is not empty.

The proof is based on old construction due to A. Khintchine [7] and recent arguments due to Y. Peres and W. Schlag [8]. It is possible to prove by our method that the intersection of any finite collection of the sets of the type $\mathcal{B}_j = \bigcup_{\delta>0} \mathrm{BAD}^*(\alpha_j,\beta_j;\delta)$ with $\alpha_j + \beta_j = 1, j = 1, ..., d$ has positive Hausdorff dimension. Also our two-dimensional result admit multidimensional generalizations.

2. Bohr sets.

For real ξ define

$$H_\xi^{(\beta)}(p,q)=\left\{x\in\mathbb{N}:\ \ p<x\leqslant q,\ ||x\xi||\leqslant\frac{\delta}{(p\log(p+1))^\beta}\right\}$$

and

$$K_\xi^{(\beta)}(p,q)=\left\{x\in\mathbb{N}:\ \ p<x\leqslant q,\ ||x\xi||\leqslant\frac{\delta}{(x\log(x+1))^\beta}\right\}\subseteq H_\xi^{(\beta)}(p,q).$$

We define $\xi\in\mathbb{R}$ to be is a δ-badly approximable number if $\inf_{p\in\mathbb{N}} p||p\xi||\geqslant\delta$. For δ-badly approximable number ξ and any real number η the pair (η,ξ) belongs to $\mathrm{BAD}(0,1;\delta)$.

Lemma 2. *For a δ-badly approximable number ξ the following inequality is valid:*

$$\#H_\xi^{(\beta)}(p,2p)\leqslant\frac{(24\delta+2)p^\alpha}{(\log(p+1))^\beta}.$$

Proof. The number of elements in $H_\xi^{(\beta)}(p,2p)$ is bounded by the number of integer points in the region

$$\Omega(p)=\left\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2:\ \ 0\leqslant x\leqslant 2p,\ |x\xi-y|\leqslant\frac{\delta}{(p\log(p+1))^\beta}\right\}.$$

We should note that $(0,0)\in\Omega(p)$ but $(0,0)\notin H_\xi^{(\beta)}(p,2p)$. Hence the number of integer points in $H_\xi^{(\beta)}(p,2p)$ does not exceed the number of integer points in $\Omega(p)$ minus one.

For The measure of $\Omega(p)$ we have

$$\mu\left(\Omega(p)\right)=\frac{4\delta p^\alpha}{(\log(p+1))^\beta}. \tag{1}$$

Let there exists an integer primitive point $(p_0,a_0)\in\Omega(p), p_0\geqslant 1$ (otherwise $\#H_\xi^{(\beta)}(p,2p)=0$). As ξ is a δ-badly approximable number we have

$$\frac{\delta}{p_0}\leqslant|p_0\xi-a_0|\leqslant\frac{\delta}{(p\log(p+1))^\beta}$$

and $p_0\geqslant(p\log(p+1))^\beta$. Now we consider two cases.

In the **first case** we suppose that all integer points in $\Omega(p)$ are of the form $\lambda(p_0, a_0)$ with integer λ. Then the number of such points does not exceed $[2p/p_0]+1 \leqslant 2p/(p\log(p+1))^{\beta}+1 = \frac{2p^{\alpha}}{(\log(p+1))^{\beta}}+1$ and lemma follows.

In the **second case** the convex hull of all integer points in the region $\Omega(p)$ is a convex polygon Π with integer vertices. Let m be the number of integer points in $\Omega(p)$. Then $m = m_1 + m_2 \geqslant 3$ where m_1 is the number of integer points inside Π and m_2 is the number of integer points on the boundary of polygon Π. According to Pick's formula we have

$$\frac{m}{6} \leqslant m_1 + \frac{m_2}{2} - 1 = \mu(\Pi) \leqslant \mu(\Omega).$$

Now lemma follows from (1).

Corollary 3. *Put* $q = \left[\frac{p^2}{\delta}\log\frac{p^2}{\delta}\right]+1$ *and let* $\delta < 1/24$. *Then for a* δ*-badly approximable number* ξ *and* $\alpha \in [0,1]$ *one has*

$$\sum_{x\in K_{\xi}^{(\beta)}(p,q)} \frac{1}{(x\log(x+1))^{\alpha}} \leqslant 2^6(1+\log(1/\delta)).$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{x\in K_{\xi}^{(\beta)}(p,q)} \frac{1}{(x\log(x+1))^{\alpha}} \leqslant \sum_{\nu=0}^{[\log(q/p))]} \sum_{x\in H_{\xi}^{(\beta)}(2^{\nu}p,2^{\nu+1}p)} \frac{1}{(x\log(x+1))^{\alpha}} \leqslant \sum_{\nu=0}^{[\log(q/p)]} \frac{\#H_{\beta}(2^{\nu}p,2^{\nu+1}p)}{(2^{\nu}p\log(p+1))^{\alpha}}.$$

Applying Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\sum_{x\in K_{\xi}^{(\beta)}(p,q)} \frac{1}{(x\log(x+1))^{\alpha}} \leqslant \frac{4(24\delta+2)}{\log(p+1)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{[\log(q/p)]} 1 \leqslant 2^6(1+\log(1/\delta)),$$

and Corollary 2 follows.

3. Sets of reals.

For integers $1 \leqslant x, 0 \leqslant y \leqslant x$ define

$$E_{\alpha}(x,y) = \left(\frac{y}{x} - \frac{\delta}{x^{1+\alpha}(\log(x+1))^{\alpha}}, \frac{y}{x} + \frac{\delta}{x^{1+\alpha}(\log(x+1))^{\alpha}}\right), \quad E_{\alpha}(x) = \bigcup_{y=0}^{x} E_{\alpha}(x,y) \bigcap [0,1]. \quad (2)$$

Define

$$l(0,\alpha)=0,\ \ l(x,\alpha)=\left[\frac{\log(x^{1+\alpha}(\log(x+1))^{\alpha}/2\delta)}{\log 2}\right],\ x\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{3}$$

Each segment form the union $E_\alpha(x)$ from (2) can be covered by a dyadic interval of the form

$$\left(\frac{b}{2^{l(x,\alpha)}},\frac{b+z}{2^{l(x,\alpha)}}\right),\ \ z=1,2.$$

Let $A_\alpha(x)$ be the smallest union of all such dyadic segments which cover the whole set $E_\alpha(x)$. Define

$$A^c_\alpha(x)=[0,1]\setminus A_\alpha(x).$$

Then

$$A^c_\alpha(x)=\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\tau_\nu} I_\nu$$

where closed segments I_ν are of the form

$$\left[\frac{a}{2^{l(x,\alpha)}},\frac{a+1}{2^{l(x,\alpha)}}\right],\ \ a\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{4}$$

Now we take to be a δ-badly approximable number and reals $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\beta_2$ from our Theorem 1. Let for convenience

$$\max\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\}=\alpha_1.$$

Define $B_0=[0,1]$. For $q\geqslant 1$ we consider sets

$$B_q=\left(\bigcap_{x\in K^{(\beta_1)}_\xi(0,q)} A^c_{\alpha_1}(x)\right)\bigcap\left(\bigcap_{x\in K^{(\beta_2)}_\xi(0,q)} A^c_{\alpha_2}(x)\right).$$

For $q\geqslant 0$ these sets can be represented in the form

$$B_q=\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{T_q} J_\nu\bigcup B'_q$$

where segments J_ν are of the form

$$\left[\frac{b}{2^{l(q,\alpha_1)}},\frac{b+1}{2^{l(q,\alpha_1)}}\right],\ \ b\in\mathbb{Z} \tag{5}$$

and B'_q consist of only finitely many points.

We should note that for any q the set B_q is a closed set and the sequence of these sets is nested:

$$B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq B_q \supseteq \cdots .$$

Hence to prove Theorem 1 it is sufficient to find an infinite sequence of values of q for which the sets B_q are nonempty.

3. Lower bounds for $\mu(B_q)$.

Lemma 4. *Let $\mu(B_q) \neq 0$. Then for every $i \in \{1,2\}$ and for*

$$x \geqslant \frac{q^2}{\delta} \log \frac{q^2}{\delta} \tag{6}$$

one has

$$\mu\left(B_q \bigcap A_{\alpha_i}(x)\right) \leqslant \frac{8\delta\mu\left(B_q\right)}{(x\log(x+1))^{\alpha_i}}.$$

Proof. Write

$$B_q = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{T_q} J_\nu \bigcup B'_q, \quad A_{\alpha_i}(x) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\tau_x} I_k,$$

where J_ν are of the form (5) and I_i are of the form (4) with $\alpha = \alpha_i$. Let $I_k \cap J_\nu \neq \varnothing$. Remember that $E_{\alpha_i}(x) \subseteq A_{\alpha_i}(x)$ Then for some natural y we have

$$\frac{y}{x} \in \left[\frac{b}{2^{l(q,\alpha_i)}} - \frac{1}{2^{l(x,\alpha_i)}}, \frac{b+1}{2^{l(q,\alpha_i)}} + \frac{1}{2^{l(x,\alpha_i)}}\right]$$

The quantity y here can take not more than

$$W = \left[\left(\frac{1}{2^{l(q,\alpha_i)}} + \frac{2}{2^{l(x,\alpha_i)}}\right) x\right] + 1$$

values. Now

$$\mu\left(J_\nu \bigcap A_{\alpha_i}(x)\right) \leqslant \mu\left(I_k\right) W$$

and

$$\mu\left(B_q\bigcap A_{\alpha_i}(x)\right)\leqslant \mu\left(I_k\right)WT_q=\mu\left(B_q\right)\times\frac{2^{l(q,\alpha_i)}}{2^{l(x,\alpha_i)}}\times\left(\frac{3x}{2^{l(q,\alpha_i)}}+1\right)=\mu\left(B_q\right)\times\left(\frac{3x}{2^{l(x,\alpha_i)}}+\frac{2^{l(q,\alpha_i)}}{2^{l(x,\alpha_i)}}\right).$$

From (3) we see that

$$\frac{x}{2^{l(x,\alpha_i)}}\leqslant\frac{2\delta}{(x\log(x+1))^{\alpha_i}}.$$

From (6) it follows that $x/\log(x+1)\geqslant q^2/\delta$. Hence

$$\frac{2^{l(q,\alpha_i)}}{2^{l(x,\alpha_i)}}\leqslant 2\times\frac{q^{1+\alpha_i}}{x^{1+\alpha_i}}\leqslant\frac{2}{(x\log(x+1))^{\alpha_i}}\times\frac{q^2}{x/\log(x+1)}\leqslant\frac{2\delta}{(x\log(x+1))^{\alpha_i}}.$$

Now Lemma 4 follows.

Lemma 5. *Let* $0<\delta\leqslant 2^{-20}$. *Let* $q_1=\left[\frac{q^2}{\delta}\log\frac{q^2}{\delta}\right]+1,\ q_2=\left[\frac{q_1^2}{\delta}\log\frac{q_1^2}{\delta}\right]+1$. *Let we know that*

$$\mu\left(B_{q_1}\right)\geqslant\frac{\mu\left(B_q\right)}{2}>0. \tag{7}$$

Then

$$\mu\left(B_{q_2}\right)\geqslant\frac{\mu\left(B_{q_1}\right)}{2}.$$

Proof. As

$$B_{q_2}=B_{q_1}\setminus\left(\left(\bigcup_{x\in K_\xi^{(\beta_1)}(q_1,q_2)}A_{\alpha_1}(x)\right)\bigcup\left(\bigcup_{x\in K_\xi^{(\beta_2)}(q_1,q_2)}A_{\alpha_2}(x)\right)\right)$$

we see that

$$\mu\left(B_{q_2}\right)\geqslant\mu\left(B_{q_1}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{x\in K_\xi^{(\beta_i)}(q_1,q_2)}\mu\left(B_{q_1}\cap A_{\alpha_i}(x)\right). \tag{8}$$

But

$$B_{q_1}\cap A_{\alpha_i}(x)\subseteq B_q\cap A_{\alpha_i}(x).$$

Applying Lemma 4 we have

$$\mu\left(B_{q_1}\cap A_{\alpha_i}(x)\right)\leqslant\mu\left(B_q\cap A_{\alpha_i}(x)\right)\leqslant\frac{8\delta\mu\left(B_q\right)}{(x\log(x+1))^{\alpha_i}}.$$

To continue this estimate we use (7) and obtain

$$\mu\left(B_{q_1} \cap A_{\alpha_i}(x)\right) \leqslant \frac{16\delta\mu\left(B_{q_1}\right)}{(x\log(x+1))^{\alpha_i}}. \tag{9}$$

Then (8,9) lead to the inequality

$$\mu\left(B_{q_2}\right) \geqslant \mu\left(B_{q_1}\right)\left(1 - 16\delta \times \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{x \in K_{\xi}^{(\beta_i)}(q_1,q_2)} \frac{1}{(x\log(x+1))^{\alpha_i}}\right).$$

For the last two inner sums we use Corollary 3 with $p = q_1, q = q_2$. We should take into account that from the condition $\delta < 2^{-20}$ we have $2^9\delta(1+\log(1/\delta)) \leqslant 1/2$. Now Lemma 5 follows.

Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 5. Define $q_0 = 0, q_{\nu+1} = \left[\frac{q_\nu^2}{\delta}\log\frac{q_\nu^2}{\delta}\right] + 1$. As $\mu(B_0) = 1$ from Lemma 5 we have $\mu(B_{q_\nu}) \geqslant 2^{-\nu}$. It means that $\bigcap_{q\in\mathbb{N}} B_q \neq \varnothing$.

References

[1] W. M. Schmidt, Open problems in Diophantine approximations. // "Approximations Diophantiennes et nombres transcendants' Luminy, 1982, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser (1983), p.271 - 289.

[2] A.D. Pollington, S. L. Velani, On simultaneously badly approximable pairs. // J. London Math. Soc., 66 (2002), p. 29 -40.

[3] S. Kristensen, R. Thorn, S. Velani, Diophantine approximation and badly approximable sets. // Diophantine approximation and badly approximable sets, Advances in Math. 203 (2006) p. 132-169

[4] R. Ahkunzhanov, On badly approximable numbers. // Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull. 59, No 4 (2004) p. 40 - 43.

[5] H.Davenport, A Note on Diophantine Approximation. // Studies in Math. Analysis and Related Topics, Stanford Univ. (1962), pp. 77-81.

[6] H.Davenport, A Note on Diophantine Approximation II. // Mathematika 11 (1964), pp. 50 - 58.

[7] A. Khintchine, Über eine klasse linearer Diophantischer approximationen. // Rendiconti Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 50 (1926), s. 170 - 195.

[8] Y. Peres Y, W. Schlag, Two Erdös problems on lacunary sequences: chromatic numbers and Diophantine approximations. // Preprint, available at: arXiv:0706.0223v1 [math.CO] 1Jun2007.